## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of	America	)	
v.		)	2.02GD20.2
JAMIE LAMONT BUCHANAN		Case No: USM No:	3:93CR39-3
Date of Original Judgment:	March 3, 1994	) USM NO:	10233-058
Date of Last Amended Judgment:		Thomas Co	chran
Ç		Defendant's Attorney	
Order Regardin	g Motion for Sentence F	Reduction Pursi	nant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the subsequently been lowered and m	e term of imprisonment in ade retroactive by the Un such motion, and taking in	nposed based on ited States Sente nto account the p	of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. a guideline sentencing range that has noting Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. olicy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 t they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion			
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of is reduced to			
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE To  ☐ The reduced sentence is within  ☐ The previous term of imprison of sentencing and the reduced  ☐ The reduced sentence is above  ☐ Other (explain): Due to the decalculations are the defendence.	2 to 327 months  O AMENDED GUIDEL  In the amended guideline ra ment imposed was less th sentence is comparably le  In the amended guideline ra efendant's classification as and Amendment 750 is no ant is also serving a conse  924(c) and another consect  18 U.S.C. § 3147.	Amended C Criminal Hi Amended C AINE RANGE ange. an the guideline ass than the amen ange. s a Career Offend of applicable in the	story Category: VI uideline Range: 262 to 327 months  range applicable to the defendant at the time ded guideline range.  der, there is no change in the guideline
III. ADDITIONAL COMMEN	15		
Except as provided above, all pro-	visions of the judgment da	ated March 3,	1994, shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
Order Date: July 24, 2012		Hah	m C. Mullen
Effective Date:(if different from o	order date)	Graham C United Sta	. Mullen ites District Judge